



FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name (not mandatory):- Mr. Avinash Kumar
DESIGNATION:- A.P ACADEMIC YEAR:- 2021-22

Dear Faculty,
Greeting from MIET, Greater Noida.

We shall be thankful to you, if you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this form and give us your valuable suggestions for further improvement in the design and review of syllabus. Please rate the following parameters based on your assessment of the quality and adequacy of curriculum offered for the program on the scale from 1 to 5

Thanking You.

Fill your responses in numeric value as shown.	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
---	---------------	---------------	----------	-------------	----------

S. No.	PARAMETERS	1	2	3	4	5
PERFORMANCE						
1	Rate program you teach in term of curriculum					-
2	Rate Quality and relevance of the course in program				-	
PLANNING						
	Is our course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes				-	
4	The specified hours for lecture, tutorial and practical work, mentions in teaching scheme are sufficient to complete the cover the syllabus.					-
5	Books and Reference material are sufficient and relevant in the library					-
DESIGN						
6	Is our syllabus fulfill the requirement of society, economy and environment				-	
7	Is our syllabus cover foundation and advance topics					-
8	Is our syllabus meets the expectation (values, skills, knowledge, attitude analytical, abilities, applicability, relevance and practical) orientation to real life situations.				-	
9	Is course empowered to build the opportunities in term of employability, entrepreneurship and higher studies among the learner?					-
SUGGESTION						
10	Any suggestion to improve the program					
11	Any changes in syllabus be needed.					
12	Any other Suggestion					

Avinash

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name (not mandatory):- Dr Shweta Singh
 DESIGNATION:- Associate Professor ACADEMIC YEAR:- 2021-22

Dear Faculty,
Greeting form MIET, Greater Noida.

We shall be thankful to you, if you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this form and give us you valuable suggestions for further improvement in the design and review of syllabus. Please rate the following parameters based on your assessment of the quality and adequacy of curriculum offered for the program on the scale from 1 to 5

Thanking You.

Fill your responses in numeric value as shown.	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
---	---------------	---------------	----------	-------------	----------

S. No.	PARAMETERS	1	2	3	4	5
PERFORMANCE						
1	Rate program you teach in term of curriculum				✓	
2	Rate Quality and relevance of the course in program					✓
PLANNING						
	Is our course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes				✓	
4	The specified hours for lecture, tutorial and practical work, mentions in teaching scheme are sufficient to complete the cover the syllabus.					✓
5	Books and Reference material are sufficient and relevant in the library				✓	
DESIGN						
6	Is our syllabus fulfill the requirement of society, economy and environment				✓	
7	Is our syllabus cover foundation and advance topics					✓
8	Is our syllabus meets the expectation (values, skills, knowledge, attitude analytical, abilities, applicability, relevance and practical) orientation to real life situations.				✓	
9	Is course empowered to build the opportunities in term of employability, entrepreneurship and higher studies among the learner?			✓		
SUGGESTION						
10	Any suggestion to improve the program					
11	Any changes in syllabus be needed.					
12	Any other Suggestion					

Shweta Singh

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name (not mandatory):- Praveen Kumar

DESIGNATION:- Asstt. Prof. ACADEMIC YEAR:- 2020-21

Dear Faculty,
Greeting form MIET, Greater Noida.

We shall be thankful to you, if you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this form and give us you valuable suggestions for further improvement in the design and review of syllabus. Please rate the following parameters based on your assessment of the quality and adequacy of curriculum offered for the program on the scale from 1to 5

Thanking You.

Fill your responses in **numeric** value as shown. Excellent (5) Very Good (4) Good (3) Average (2) Poor (1)

S. No.	PARAMETERS	1	2	3	4	5
PERFORMANCE						
1	Rate program you teach in term of curriculum					✓
2	Rate Quality and relevance of the course in program				✓	
PLANNING						
	Is our course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes			✓		
4	The specified hours for lecture, tutorial and practical work, mentions in teaching scheme are sufficient to complete the cover the syllabus.				✓	
5	Books and Reference material are sufficient and relevant in the library					✓
DESIGN						
6	Is our syllabus fulfill the requirement of society, economy and environment				✓	
7	Is our syllabus cover foundation and advance topics					✓
8	Is our syllabus meets the expectation (values, skills, knowledge, attitude analytical, abilities, applicability, relevance and practical) orientation to real life situations.				✓	
9	Is course empowered to build the opportunities in term of employability, entrepreneurship and higher studies among the learner?					✓
SUGGESTION						
10	Any suggestion to improve the program					
11	Any changes in syllabus be needed.					
12	Any other Suggestion					

Praveen Kumar

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name (not mandatory):- Sarvesh K.G. Mishra.

DESIGNATION:- Asst. Prof. ACADEMIC YEAR:- 2020-21

Dear Faculty,

Greeting from MIET, Greater Noida.

We shall be thankful to you, if you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this form and give us your valuable suggestions for further improvement in the design and review of syllabus. Please rate the following parameters based on your assessment of the quality and adequacy of curriculum offered for the program on the scale from 1 to 5

Thanking You.

Fill your responses in numeric value as shown.		Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
S. No.	PARAMETERS	1	2	3	4	5
PERFORMANCE						
1	Rate program you teach in term of curriculum			✓		
2	Rate Quality and relevance of the course in program				✓	
PLANNING						
	Is our course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes				✓	
4	The specified hours for lecture, tutorial and practical work, mentions in teaching scheme are sufficient to complete the cover the syllabus.				✓	
5	Books and Reference material are sufficient and relevant in the library				✓	
DESIGN						
6	Is our syllabus fulfill the requirement of society, economy and environment					✓
7	Is our syllabus cover foundation and advance topics					✓
8	Is our syllabus meets the expectation (values, skills, knowledge, attitude analytical, abilities, applicability, relevance and practical) orientation to real life situations.					✓
9	Is course empowered to build the opportunities in term of employability, entrepreneurship and higher studies among the learner?				✓	
SUGGESTION						
10	Any suggestion to improve the program					
11	Any changes in syllabus be needed.					
12	Any other Suggestion					

Sarvesh K.G. Mishra

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name (not mandatory):- Ganesh Singh
DESIGNATION:- AP ACADEMIC YEAR:- 2019-20

Dear Faculty,
Greeting form MIET, Greater Noida.

We shall be thankful to you, if you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this form and give us you valuable suggestions for further improvement in the design and review of syllabus. Please rate the following parameters based on your assessment of the quality and adequacy of curriculum offered for the program on the scale from 1 to 5

Thanking You.

Fill your responses in numeric value as shown.	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
---	---------------	---------------	----------	-------------	----------

S. No.	PARAMETERS	1	2	3	4	5
PERFORMANCE						
1	Rate program you teach in term of curriculum				✓	
2	Rate Quality and relevance of the course in program					✓
PLANNING						
	Is our course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes					✓
4	The specified hours for lecture, tutorial and practical work, mentions in teaching scheme are sufficient to complete the cover the syllabus.					✓
5	Books and Reference material are sufficient and relevant in the library				✓	
DESIGN						
6	Is our syllabus fulfill the requirement of society, economy and environment					✓
7	Is our syllabus cover foundation and advance topics					✓
8	Is our syllabus meets the expectation (values, skills, knowledge, attitude analytical, abilities, applicability, relevance and practical) orientation to real life situations.				✓	
9	Is course empowered to build the opportunities in term of employability, entrepreneurship and higher studies among the learner?				✓	
SUGGESTION						
10	Any suggestion to improve the program					
11	Any changes in syllabus be needed.					
12	Any other Suggestion					

Ganesh

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name (not mandatory):- Alok Kumar Maurya

DESIGNATION:- AP

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-20

Dear Faculty,

Greeting from MIET, Greater Noida.

We shall be thankful to you, if you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this form and give us your valuable suggestions for further improvement in the design and review of syllabus. Please rate the following parameters based on your assessment of the quality and adequacy of curriculum offered for the program on the scale from 1 to 5

Thanking You,

Fill your responses in numeric value as shown.	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
---	---------------	---------------	----------	-------------	----------

S. No.	PARAMETERS	1	2	3	4	5
PERFORMANCE						
1	Rate program you teach in term of curriculum				✓	
2	Rate Quality and relevance of the course in program					✓
PLANNING						
	Is our course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes					✓
4	The specified hours for lecture, tutorial and practical work, mentions in teaching scheme are sufficient to complete the cover the syllabus.					✓
5	Books and Reference material are sufficient and relevant in the library					✓
DESIGN						
6	Is our syllabus fulfill the requirement of society, economy and environment				✓	
7	Is our syllabus cover foundation and advance topics				✓	
8	Is our syllabus meets the expectation (values, skills, knowledge, attitude analytical, abilities, applicability, relevance and practical) orientation to real life situations.					✓
9	Is course empowered to build the opportunities in term of employability, entrepreneurship and higher studies among the learner?					✓
SUGGESTION						
10	Any suggestion to improve the program					
11	Any changes in syllabus be needed.					
12	Any other Suggestion					

Alok

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name (not mandatory):- Bhauvesh Motilal

DESIGNATION:- Asst. Prof.
Professor

ACADEMIC YEAR:- 2018-19

Dear Faculty,
Greeting from MIET, Greater Noida.

We shall be thankful to you, if you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this form and give us your valuable suggestions for further improvement in the design and review of syllabus. Please rate the following parameters based on your assessment of the quality and adequacy of curriculum offered for the program on the scale from 1 to 5

Thanking You,

Fill your responses in numeric value as shown.		Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
S. No.	PARAMETERS	1	2	3	4	5
PERFORMANCE						
1	Rate program you teach in term of curriculum				✓	
2	Rate Quality and relevance of the course in program					✓
PLANNING						
	Is our course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes					✓
4	The specified hours for lecture, tutorial and practical work, mentions in teaching scheme are sufficient to complete the cover the syllabus.				✓	
5	Books and Reference material are sufficient and relevant in the library					✓
DESIGN						
6	Is our syllabus fulfill the requirement of society, economy and environment				✓	
7	Is our syllabus cover foundation and advance topics					✓
8	Is our syllabus meets the expectation (values, skills, knowledge, attitude analytical, abilities, applicability, relevance and practical) orientation to real life situations.				✓	
9	Is course empowered to build the opportunities in term of employability, entrepreneurship and higher studies among the learner?					✓
SUGGESTION						
10	Any suggestion to improve the program					
11	Any changes in syllabus be needed.					
12	Any other Suggestion					

Bhauvesh
11/06/19

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name (not mandatory):- Meha Mathur

DESIGNATION:- AP

ACADEMIC YEAR:- 2018-19

Dear Faculty,
Greeting from MIET, Greater Noida.

We shall be thankful to you, if you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this form and give us your valuable suggestions for further improvement in the design and review of syllabus. Please rate the following parameters based on your assessment of the quality and adequacy of curriculum offered for the program on the scale from 1 to 5

Thanking You.

Fill your responses in numeric value as shown.	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
---	---------------	---------------	----------	-------------	----------

S. No.	PARAMETERS	1	2	3	4	5
PERFORMANCE						
1	Rate program you teach in term of curriculum					✓
2	Rate Quality and relevance of the course in program					✓
PLANNING						
	Is our course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes				✓	
4	The specified hours for lecture, tutorial and practical work, mentions in teaching scheme are sufficient to complete the cover the syllabus.				✓	
5	Books and Reference material are sufficient and relevant in the library			✓		
DESIGN						
6	Is our syllabus fulfill the requirement of society, economy and environment			✓		
7	Is our syllabus cover foundation and advance topics				✓	
8	Is our syllabus meets the expectation (values, skills, knowledge, attitude analytical, abilities, applicability, relevance and practical) orientation to real life situations.				✓	
9	Is course empowered to build the opportunities in term of employability, entrepreneurship and higher studies among the learner?					✓
SUGGESTION						
10	Any suggestion to improve the program					
11	Any changes in syllabus be needed.					
12	Any other Suggestion					

meha